

REMARKS

Applicant re-submits the Amendment filed June 10, 2003 with Claims 1-23 listed in ascending order and their respective status'.

First, Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courteous and informative telephone conference of June 10, 2003.

Pursuant to the Examiner's requirements, independent Claim 6 has been further amended to include all of the limitations of intervening Claim 5, in addition to base Claim 4, with the exception that the hingable movement has been amended to define a slidable co-planar movement with respect to the main central screen of the hand held body of the wireless telecommunications unit.

Support for this slidable co-planar relationship is found in the specification, extending from page 5, line 13 to page 6, line 10, where it is stated:

"Figures 3 and 4 show two views of cell phone 100 equipped with three LCD panels which store on top of one another (see Figure 4) and can be deployed in an ergonomic manner with one hand as in Figure 5. Panel 101 is in a fixed position relative to the housing and it is wired permanently at edge 115. Track 102 on the top and bottom guides second panel 104 to pull out and then rise into a co-planar position with screen 101.

Similarly, track 103 on screen 104 guides screen 105 to pull out further and rise into a co-planar position with screen 104. The set-up is a one-handed operation from the configuration of Figure 4 by grasping handle 106 and pulling

out in one sweeping motion. Then a slight straight push back operates connectors at 116 and 117 so that the three panels have almost negligible separation between panels.

To store the two extra panels, the user simply re-extends to separate the connectors and tilt slightly up as the panels are manually pushed back. The tracks guide the panels back into storage position. Note that the single screen can be used for short messages.

With the three screens deployed, the full width of an SVGA display can be read albeit at reduced height. Simple scrolling can then be used to view normal screen contents in a near normal format. Configurations with from two to five panels are practical."

In view of the amendment herein of Claims 6 and 14, with remaining Claims 7, 10-13 and 15-23, it is respectfully submitted that the claims more particularly point out distinctly claim the telecommunications unit of the present invention.

Allowable Claim 6 has been put in independent form, including all of the limitations of base Claim 4 and intervening Claims 5 and 6, as noted above.

In addition, as suggested by the Examiner the auditory display is now independent Claim 6. Therefore, Claim 14 refers to the details of the auditory display disclosed in amended Claim 6.

Allowable Claim 7 is dependent upon amended Claim 6.

Pending Claims 10-23 are dependent upon allowable Claim 6. Support for dependent Claims 10-12 is found in the specification at page 1, lines 5-11. Support for Claim 13 is

found in the specification at page 5, lines 2-4. Support for Claim 14 is found in the specification at page 3, line 15.

Support for dependent Claim 15 is found in the drawings and in the specification at page 5, line 13 through page 6, line 10.

Support for new dependent Claims 16-18 is found in the specification at page 3, lines 21-26. Support for new dependent Claim 19 is found in the specification at page 5, lines 21-23.

Moreover, support for dependent Claims 20-23 is found in the specification at page 6, line 14 through page 8, line 12.

No new matter is added by the foregoing amendments.

Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Alfred M. Walker
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 29,983

225 Old Country Road
Melville, NY 11747-2712
(516) 361-8737
pat4

Certificate of Fax Transmission

I hereby certify that the attached correspondence is
being deposited by fax to 703-872-9314.

on July 29, 2003



Alfred M. Walker

07/26/03 FRI 08:39 FAX 7033089051

E-CLUSTER MAIL ROOM

USPTO
From : FRANCIS NGUYEN

AU 2674
(703) 308 - 8858

To : ATTORNEY WALKER
Fax 631 361-3792

Re: 09/823,300

Amendment format is
not compliant

PAGES including COVER SHEET: 2

AMENDMENT CHECKLIST (REVISED AMENDMENT FORMAT - VOLUNTARY PERIOD ONLY)

E

Application: 09/823300
Legal Instruments Examiner: S. Jordan
Date of Amendment: 7/16/03

A review of applicant's amendment submitted under the revised amendment format reveals:

- 1. The amendment fully complies with the voluntary revised amendment format.
- 2. Complete Claim Listing. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present in the amendment paper.
 - a. Applicant presents only currently amended claims.
 - b. Applicant presents all claims except those claims, which are canceled.
 - c. Applicant fails to present the text of all claims under examination.
- 3. Ascending Order. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
- 4. Status Identifiers. No status identifiers (following each claim number) have been presented.
 - a. Some status identifiers (following each claim number) have not been presented.
 - b. Claims are presented with an incorrect or inconsistent status identifier.
- 5. Separate Sheet. Each section of the amendment does not begin on a separate sheet.
- 6. Markings in Non-Amended Claims. Claims not currently amended are marked up.
- 7. Groupings. Applicant has incorrectly grouped non-consecutive groups of canceled or withdrawn claims.
- 8. Revised Format - Specification Only. Only the specification is supplied using the revised amendment format. Applicant has submitted amendments to the claims using a clean version and a marked up version.
- 9. Other After 6/30/03: Needs New Amend format.

RETURN THIS CHECKLIST TO THE TEAM LEADER.

***IF THE AMENDMENT FAILS TO COMPLY WITH THE VOLUNTARY
REVISED AMENDMENT FORMAT, SUBMIT THIS CHECKLIST, THE
AMENDMENT, & THE APPLICATION FILE TO THE TEAM LEADER.**